

**District of Columbia
Water and Sewer Authority**

DATE: August 16, 2013
TO: GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CHALLENGE CONTESTANTS
SUBJECT: ADDENDUM NO. 04
REFERENCE: Green Infrastructure (GI) Challenge

Contestants shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum on the Submission Form. Failure to do so may result in the rejection of the submission.

All requirements of the original GI Challenge material and any previous Addenda shall remain in force except as amended by this addendum.

Addendum No. 04 consists of the following (2 pages of text):

Answers to Questions received between August 2, 2013
and August 9, 2013

The following corrections, changes, additions, deletions, revisions, and/or clarifications are hereby made a part of the GI Challenge. In case of conflicts between this Addendum and previous issued Documents, this Addendum shall have precedence.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

1. Inquiry No. 1

Question:

Is there sufficient information about the subsurface conditions of the two Public Right-of-Way pilot sites to determine if infiltration can be a viable strategy? If such information is unavailable, what assumptions can be made?

Answer:

It should be assumed that infiltration is infeasible.

2. Inquiry No. 2

Question:

It is possible that some DDOT rules/policies might stand in the way of or interfere with an innovative SWM strategy? Do the competition rules mandate compliance with current DDOT streetscape standards? NOTE: In July DDOT issued new SW Guidelines.

Answer:

The concept design does not need to address specific standards. However, designs that have the ability to meet design standards will receive more favorable consideration in the practicality category. For awarded projects that advance to final design, all applicable District standards must be met.

3. Inquiry No. 3

Question:

Are current curb alignments to be maintained?

Answer:

No, maintaining existing curb alignments is not required. However, designs that demonstrate awareness of neighborhood parking limitations (don't excessively or unnecessarily remove parking spaces) will receive more favorable consideration in the practicality category.